arXiv:gr-qc/0307063v2 3 Aug 2003

Maxwell's field coupled nonminimally to quadratic torsion: Induced axion field and birefringence of the vacuum

Yakov Itin[1] and Friedrich W. Hehl[2]
[1]*Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem and Jerusalem College of Engineering, Jerusalem 91904, Israel, email:* `itin@math.huji.ac.il` *and*
[2]*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, 50923 Köln, Germany, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO 65211, USA, email:* `hehl@thp.uni-koeln.de`

We consider a possible (parity conserving) interaction between the electromagnetic field F and a torsion field T^α of spacetime. For generic elementary torsion, gauge invariant coupling terms of lowest order fall into two classes that are both nonminimal and *quadratic* in torsion. These two classes are displayed explicitly. The first class of the type $\sim F\,T^2$ yields (undesirable) modifications of the Maxwell equations. The second class of the type $\sim F^2\,T^2$ doesn't touch the Maxwell equations but rather modifies the constitutive tensor of spacetime. Such a modification can be completely described in the framework of metricfree electrodynamics. We recognize three physical effects generated by the torsion: (i) An axion field that induces an *optical activity* into spacetime, (ii) a modification of the light cone structure that yields *birefringence* of the vacuum, and (iii) a torsion dependence of the *velocity of light.* We study these effects in the background of a Friedmann universe with torsion. *File tor17.tex, 02 August 2003*

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 04.20.Cv

I. MAXWELL'S FIELD COUPLED TO TORSION

Since torsion [1] $T^a=(1/2)T_{bc}{}^a\vartheta^b\wedge\vartheta^c$ had been introduced into gauge field theories of gravitation as a natural ingredient, its possible interaction with the electromagnetic field $F=(1/2)F_{ab}\vartheta^a\wedge\vartheta^b$ was discussed [2]. More recent investigations include [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Such a coupling, provided we subscribe to Maxwellian electrodynamics including charge and flux conservation [5], has to respect the *diffeomorphism* invariance of the underline geometry as well as the *gauge* invariance of electrodynamics.

In the framework of the Einstein-Cartan [2] and the Poincaré gauge theory of gravity [10], there doesn't exist a "comma-goes-to-semicolon" rule $(\ )_{,a}\longrightarrow(\ )_{;a}$ or $\partial_a\longrightarrow\nabla_a$ [11], since this would violate gauge invariance:

$$F_{ab}=2\partial_{[a}A_{b]}\quad\longrightarrow\quad 2\nabla_{[a}A_{b]}=F_{ab}-T_{ab}{}^cA_c\,. \qquad (1)$$

The new field strength $2\nabla_{[a}A_{b]}$ is modified by a gauge dependent term $-T_{ab}{}^cA_c$, and the Lagrangian constructed by means of $\partial_a\longrightarrow\nabla_a$ would read, in symbolic form,

$$L\sim F_{ab}F^{ab}+2F\cdot A\cdot T+A\cdot A\cdot T\cdot T\,. \qquad (2)$$

Clearly, this L is defective because of its lack of gauge invariance under $A_a\longrightarrow A_a+\partial_a\phi$.

As a consequence of these considerations, the *free* Maxwell Lagrangian is added to the gravitational Lagrangian with the unchanged field strength $F_{ab}=2\partial_{[a}A_{b]}$. Nevertheless, in an exact solution of the gravity-Maxwell system, the torsion may depend on the electrical charge, as is exemplified by the Reissner-Nordström solution with torsion in the framework of a Poincaré gauge theory [12]. In other words, torsion is influenced by the electric charge *in*directly via the frame, the metric, and the field equations. This is what we dub *minimal coupling* in this exceptional case. What in the Lagrangian looks like "no interaction at all," still yields an effective "minimal" interaction.

In this article, we will describe a complete family of Maxwell-torsion Lagrangains that are diffeomorphism and gauge invariant. We restrict ourselves to *parity preserving* Lagrangians involving the electromagnetic field strength and the torsion up to biquadratic expressions. Parity violating Lagrangians [7] can be studied in a similar manner. Thus we will display the family of parity preserving Maxwell-torsion Lagrangians that are *nonminimally coupled* to lowest order [13].

In order to describe the complete family of possible coupling terms, we introduce a pseudo-orthonormal coframe ϑ^a and express the variables relative to this cobasis. The electromagnetic potential 1-form reads $A=A_a\vartheta^a$, the electromagnetic field strength 2-form $F=dA=(1/2)F_{ab}\vartheta^a\wedge\vartheta^b$, and the torsion 2-form $T^a=(1/2)T_{bc}{}^a\,\vartheta^b\wedge\vartheta^c$. We represent the Lagrangian expressions in symbolic form, for instance, $L\sim A\cdot F\cdot T$, where the "$\cdot$"-sign denotes the contraction of the indices by mean of the Lorentz metric $g_{ab}=\mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$. We find the following terms:

(i) Expressions of the type $A\cdot T$. The Lagrangian is only diffeomorphism invariant and reads explicitly $A_aT^{ab}{}_b$. Such an expression is gauge invariant if and only if $T^{ab}{}_{b,a}=0$. This condition is not fulfilled in general.

(ii) Expressions of the type $A\cdot T\cdot T$ and $F\cdot T$ involve an odd numbers of free indices. By using g_{ab}, they cannot be contracted to a scalar. Consequently a diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangian of such a type does not exist.

(iii) Expressions of the type $F\cdot T\cdot T$ have 8 free indices. Hence they yield a family of diffeomorphism and gauge invariant Lagrangians. Taking into account the antisymmetries and considering the possible combinations of indices, we obtain a linear combination of elementary Lagrangians

$$L \;=\; \frac{1}{2}F_{ab}\big(\alpha_1 T^{ab}{}_{c}T^{cm}{}_{m}+\alpha_2 T^{anb}T_{nm}{}^{m} +\alpha_3 T^{amn}T^{b}{}_{nm}+\alpha_4 T^{amn}T_{mn}{}^{b}\big)\,. \quad (3)$$

(iv) Expressions of the types $A\cdot A\cdot T$ and $F\cdot F\cdot T$ have an odd number of free indices, so they do not yield diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangians.

(v) Expressions of the type $A\cdot F\cdot T$ have 6 free indices, so they may serve as building blocks for diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangians. Considering the possible combinations of indices, we obtain the following generic expression

$$L = F_{ab}\big(\beta_1 A^a T^{bm}{}_{m}+\beta_2 A_m T^{abm}+\beta_3 A_m T^{amb}\big)\,. \quad (4)$$

For imposing gauge invariance on this Lagrangian, it is necessary to require some constraints for the torsion field as, for instance,

$$\beta_2 T^{abm}+\beta_3 T^{m[ab]}=0\,. \quad (5)$$

However, this would be an ad hoc procedure.

(vi) An expression of the type $A\cdot A\cdot T\cdot T$ yields a rich family of diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangians. However, because of lack of gauge invariance, it is necessary to apply a constraint of the form $([A\cdot T\cdot T]_a)^{,a}$.
If we look back to the Lagrangian (2), we recognize that (2) is of type (v) and (vi) and thus has to be excluded.

(vii) The expressions of the type $A\cdot F\cdot T\cdot T$ have an odd number of free indices and, thus, do not yield diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangians.

(viii) The expression of the type $F\cdot F\cdot T\cdot T$ has an even number of free indices and thus yields a family of diffeomorphism and gauge invariant Lagrangians. We will construct the complete list of such Lagrangians in the following sections.

Our considerations point to the existence of three different types of Maxwell-torsion Lagrangians:

- Diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangians which do not respect gauge invariance, namely the cases (i), (v), and (vi). Observe that even in these cases the situation is not completely hopeless. The gauge invariance could be reestablished by considering a torsion field that fulfills a suitable constraint. For generic elementary torsion such a constraint is unnatural and cannot be justified. However, if the torsion is not an elementary field but rather constructed from some other fields (scalar, vector, spinor, Kalb-Ramond, ...), then a gauge invariant Lagrangian may exist. An interesting possibility of a torsion generated by an antisymmetric Kalb-Ramond field was studied recently [7].

- The family (iii) of diffeomorphism and gauge invariant Lagrangians. On the level of the field equation, these models yield an addition term that is independent of the electromagnetic field. Such a term can be treated as an additional current. Consequently, the corresponding model admits the existence of a global (cosmological) electromagnetic field even without charges. Such a modification of classical electrodynamics seems to be unwarranted.

- The family of models with a supplementary Lagrangians of type (viii). Eventually, this candidate is left over and will be studied subsequently.

II. QUADRATIC TORSION COUPLED TO MAXWELL'S FIELD

Recently Preuss [8], in the context of a cosmological test of Einstein's equivalence principle, suggested to investigate a specific nonminimally coupled Lagrangian density $\text{Ł} = L*1$ of the form

$${}^{(\mathrm{Pr})}\text{Ł} = \ell^2 *(T_a\wedge F)\,T^a\wedge F\,, \quad (6)$$

which is supposed to be added to the conventional Maxwell Lagrangian. Here ℓ is a coupling constant with the dimension of length and the star $*$ denotes the Hodge duality operator.

The Preuss Lagrangian is a specific example of the family (viii) of the last section. In symbolic form, the additional torsion dependent Lagrangian of type (viii) reads

$${}^{(\mathrm{tor})}L = -\frac{1}{8}\ell^2\sum_{a,\cdots,q}\Big(F_{ab}F_{cd}\,T_{klm}T_{npq}\Big)\,, \quad (7)$$

where the summation is performed by contracting the indices with the help of the metric tensor. The indices of the expression $F_{ab}F_{cd}\,T_{klm}T_{npq}$ can be contracted in the following three ways:

(i) All indices of the F-pair and of the T-pair are contracted separately. The only possibility for the F-pair is $F_{ab}F^{ab}$. For the T-pair we have three possibilities and, accordingly, three independent Lagrangians:

$${}^{(1)}L \;=\; F_{ab}F^{ab}\,T_{mnk}T^{mnk}\,, \quad (8)$$

$${}^{(2)}L \;=\; F_{ab}F^{ab}\,T_{mnk}T^{nkm}\,, \quad (9)$$

$${}^{(3)}L \;=\; F_{ab}F^{ab}\,T_{mn}{}^{n}T^{mk}{}_{k}\,. \quad (10)$$

Certainly, these three Lagrangians could be rewritten via the irreducible pieces of the torsion [14] or as the independent parts of the teleparallel Lagrangian [15].

(ii) Two free indices of the F-pair are contracted with two free indices of the T-pair. The only possible F-pair is $F_{am}F_{b}{}^{m}=F_{bm}F_{a}{}^{m}$. Thus the T-pairs have to be symmetric too. Consequently, we have five independent Lagrangians:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(4)}L &= F_{am}F_b{}^m\, T^{anb}T_{nk}{}^k\,, && (11)\\
{}^{(5)}L &= F_{am}F_b{}^m\, T^{akn}T^b{}_{kn}\,, && (12)\\
{}^{(6)}L &= F_{am}F_b{}^m\, T^{akn}T^b{}_{nk}\,, && (13)\\
{}^{(7)}L &= F_{am}F_b{}^m\, T^{akn}T_{kn}{}^b\,, && (14)\\
{}^{(8)}L &= F_{am}F_b{}^m\, T^{ak}{}_kT^{bn}{}_n\,. && (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) The F-pair and the T-pair have four free indices. Taking the F-pair in the general form $F_{ab}F_{cd}$, we find the following possibilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(9)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T^{am}{}_mT^{bcd}\,, && (16)\\
{}^{(10)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T^{am}{}_mT^{cdb}\,, && (17)\\
{}^{(11)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T_m{}^{ab}T^{mcd}\,, && (18)\\
{}^{(12)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T_m{}^{ac}T^{mdb}\,, && (19)\\
{}^{(13)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T_m{}^{ad}T^{mbc}\,, && (20)\\
{}^{(14)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T^{mab}T^{cd}{}_m\,, && (21)\\
{}^{(15)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T^{mac}T^{db}{}_m\,, && (22)\\
{}^{(16)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T^{abm}T^{cd}{}_m\,, && (23)\\
{}^{(17)}L &= F_{ab}F_{cd}\, T^{acm}T^{db}{}_m\,. && (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up, the explicit form of the general torsion Lagrangian (7) reads

$$\frac{1}{\ell^2}\;{}^{(\mathrm{tor})}L = -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{17}\gamma_i\;{}^{(i)}L\,, \qquad (25)$$

with the dimensionless constants γ_i.

Let us rewrite the Preuss Lagrangian (6) in terms of components. With the formula $*w\wedge\vartheta_a = -*(e_a\rfloor w)$ (see [14]), we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\ell^2}\;{}^{(\mathrm{Pr})}\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{16}T_{bca}F_{mn}T^{pqa}F^{rs} * \vartheta^{bcmn}\wedge\vartheta_{pqrs}\\
&= -\frac{1}{16}T_{bca}F_{mn}T^{pqa}F^{rs} * (e_p\rfloor\vartheta^{bcmn})\wedge\vartheta_{qrs}\\
&= -\frac{1}{8}T_{bca}F_{mn}T^{pqa}F^{rs} * (\delta^b_p\vartheta^{cmn} +\\
&\qquad \delta^m_p\vartheta^{bcn})\wedge\vartheta_{qrs} = \cdots\\
&= \frac{1}{4}(T_{bca}T^{bca}F_{mn}F^{mn} + 4T_{bca}T^{bma}F_{mn}F^{nc}\\
&\qquad +T_{bca}T^{mna}F_{mn}F^{bc}) * 1\,. \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the Preuss Lagrangian is given as a linear combination according to

$${}^{(\mathrm{Pr})}L = \frac{\ell^2}{4}\left({}^{(1)}L - 4\,{}^{(5)}L + {}^{(16)}L\right)\,, \qquad (27)$$

that is, $\gamma_1 = -2\,$, $\gamma_5 = 8$, and $\gamma_{16} = -2$, all other γ_i's vanish.

III. ELECTRODYNAMICS WITH A LOCAL AND LINEAR "CONSTITUTIVE LAW"

Now we want to put our findings on the nonminimal coupling of torsion to Maxwell's field into an efficient framework. This coupling can be reformulated as standard Maxwell theory with an effective constitutive tensor χ^{abcd} that is quadratic in the torsion. Recently it was shown [6, 16, 17, 18] that non-standard elementary fields, namely axion, skewon, and dilaton fields can appear even within the framework of linear electrodynamics. The metricfree Maxwell equations $dH = J$ and $dF = 0$, with the excitation $H = (\mathcal{H},\mathcal{D})$ and the field strength $F = (E,B)$, remain untouched by this construction. The same is true for the nonminimally coupled torsion field. The modification appears in the so-called spacetime relation, i.e., the relation between the 2-forms H and F. We write these 2-forms in components as

$$F = \frac{1}{2}F_{ab}\vartheta^{ab}\,,\qquad H = \frac{1}{2}H^{ab}\eta_{ab}\,. \qquad (28)$$

The coframe ϑ^a is assumed here to be orthonormal relative to some metric g. Moreover, $\vartheta^{ab} := \vartheta^a\wedge\vartheta^b$ is a basis of the space of untwisted 2-forms. Correspondingly, if e_a is the orthonormal frame dual to the coframe ϑ^a, then $\eta_{ab} := e_a\rfloor e_b\rfloor\mathrm{vol}$ is a basis of twisted 2-forms. The linear homogeneous spacetime relation between the 2-forms H and F,

$$H^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\chi^{abcd}F_{cd}\,, \qquad (29)$$

is characterized by the constitutive tensor χ^{abcd} with 36 independent components [19]. This tensor can be decomposed irreducibly according to

$$\chi^{abcd} = \widetilde{\chi}^{abcd} + \hat{\chi}^{abcd} + \check{\chi}^{abcd}\,, \qquad (30)$$

where $\widetilde{\chi}^{abcd}$ is the principal part (20 independent components), $\hat{\chi}^{abcd} = (\chi^{abcd} - \chi^{cdab})/2$ is the skewon part (15 independent components), and $\check{\chi}^{abcd} = \chi^{[abcd]} = \theta\epsilon^{abcd}$ is the axion part (1 component). The principal part is known to be related to the metrical structure of spacetime. Moreover, as it is shown in [18], the metric can even be derived from the tensor $\widetilde{\chi}^{abcd}$. So, it is natural to expect that the additional parts of the constitutive tensor may also be related to the geometry of spacetime.

In fact, starting from the standard Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}H\wedge F = -\frac{1}{4}H^{ab}F_{ab} * 1 = -\frac{1}{8}\chi^{abcd}F_{ab}F_{cd} * 1\,, \qquad (31)$$

the additional constitutive tensor, induced by the torsion, turns out to be

$${}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{abcd} = \ell^2\Big[\sum_{k\cdots q}\Big(T_{klm}T_{npq}\Big)\Big]^{[ab][cd]}\,, \qquad (32)$$

where the summation is understood as a contraction of the indices by means of the metric tensor such that the indices a, b, c, d remain free.

The expression (32) is derived from a Lagrangian. Hence, in addition to the antisymmetry relations ${}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{abcd} = -{}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{bacd} = -{}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{abdc}$, it has to satisfy ${}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{abcd} = {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{cdab}$. Accordingly, a skewon piece does not occur in (32) and the torsion field, in the framework of this Lagrangian approach, will modify the principal part and, additionally, generate an axion part of the constitutive tensor.

The axion part takes the form

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\check{\chi}^{abcd} = \ell^2 \Big[\sum_{k.\cdots q} \Big(T_{klm} T_{npq} \Big) \Big]^{[abcd]} . \tag{33} $$

The modification of the principal part

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\tilde{\chi}^{abcd} = \ell^2 \Big[\sum_{k\cdots q} \Big(T_{klm} T_{npq} \Big) \Big]^{[ab][cd]} - {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\check{\chi}^{abcd} \tag{34} $$

may yield *birefringence* of the vacuum [8, 9]. Let

$$ \chi^{abcd} = {}^{(\mathrm{vac})}\chi^{abcd} + {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{abcd} \tag{35} $$

be prescribed, here ${}^{(\mathrm{vac})}\chi^{abcd}$ denotes the vacuum constitutive tensor. Then the light propagation can be determined by means of the generalized Fresnel equation

$$ \mathcal{G}^{abcd} q_a q_b q_c q_d = 0 \,, \tag{36} $$

where q_a is the wave covector of a propagating surface of discontinuity and

$$ \mathcal{G}^{abcd} := \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{mnpq} \epsilon_{rstu} \chi^{mnr(a} \chi^{b|ps|c} \chi^{d)qtu} \tag{37} $$

is the Tamm-Rubilar tensor density [18]. Thus additional terms in the constitutive tensor yield, via (37), a modification of the original Fresnel equation. In some cases, the original vacuum light cone is split into *two* light cones yielding birefrigence, as has been shown recently for the Preuss Lagrangian [8, 9].

IV. AXION FIELD INDUCED BY TORSION

The axion, that is, a pseudo-scalar field, was extensively studied in different contexts of field theory. Its quantized version is believed to provide a solution to the strong CP problem of QCD [20]. The emergence of axions is a general phenomenon in superstring theory [21]. For the role of the axion in inflationary models, see e.g., [22]. The axion as a classical field also appears in various discussions of the equivalence principle in gravitational physics [23, 24, 25]. In the context discussed in this paper, the axion field is induced by the nonminimal coupling of Maxwell's field to the torsion of spacetime according to (33). Explicitly, we find the following:

(i) The Lagrangians (8)–(10) yield

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\check{\chi}^{abcd} = \ell^2 g^{[ac} g^{bd]} (T \cdot T) = 0 \,. \tag{38} $$

(ii) The Lagrangians (11)–(15) yield

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\check{\chi}^{abcd} = \ell^2 g^{[bd} (T \cdot T)^{ac]} = 0 \,. \tag{39} $$

(iii) As for the Lagrangians from the third group (16)–(24), we find the general form of the axion field $\theta = \epsilon_{abcd}\, {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\check{\chi}^{abcd}/4!$ as

$$ \begin{aligned} \theta \;=\; -\ell^2 \epsilon_{abcd} \Big(& \alpha_1 T_m{}^{ab} T^{cdm} + \alpha_2 T^{mab} T_m{}^{cd} \\ & + \alpha_3 T^{ab}{}_m T^{cdm} + \alpha_4 T^{abc} T^{dm}{}_m \Big) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{40} $$

where $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_4$ are free dimensionless parameters, which are linear combinations of γ's.

The axion field of the Preuss Lagrangian reads explicitly

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{Pr})}\check{\chi}^{abcd} = 2\ell^2\, T^{[ab}{}_m T^{cd]m} \tag{41} $$

or

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{Pr})}\theta = \frac{\ell^2}{12}\, \epsilon_{abcd}\, T^{abm}\, T^{cd}{}_m \,. \tag{42} $$

If in vacuum an axion field θ emerges, then the Lagrangian picks up an additional piece $\sim \theta\, F \wedge F$, see [18]. Accordingly, the inhomogeneous Maxwell equation reads

$$ d\,{}^*F + d\theta \wedge F = J \,. \tag{43} $$

Obviously, only a nonconstant axion field contributes. The homogeneous Maxwell equation remains untouched: $dF = 0$. Hence charge conservation is guaranteed, that is, $dJ = 0$.

The axion field doesn't influence the light cone structure, see [17, 18]. However, as was shown by Haugan and Lämmerzahl [26], see also the literature given there, the coupling of Maxwell's field to a nonconstant axion field, in the case of a plane electromagnetic wave, amounts to a rotation of the polarization vector of the wave, i.e., the axion field induces an *optical activity* (similar to a solution of sugar in water [27]).

V. PRINCIPAL PART OF THE CONSTITUTIVE TENSOR $\tilde{\chi}^{abcd}$ AND TORSION

A modification of the principal part of the constitutive tensor may yield crucial changes in the structure of the light cone, as we discussed at the end of Sec.III. In particular Preuss studied recently possible observational consequences [8]. In the framework of our model, the constitutive tensor is determined by the torsion of spacetime, inter alia. Consequently, if the appropriate changes in the propagation of light were observed, this would represent evidence for the existence of the torsion field.

For different elementary Lagrangians we find the following effects on the light cone structure (we put $\ell = 1$ for simplicity):

(i) The Lagrangians (8)–(10) yield

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\widetilde{\chi}^{abcd} = S(g^{ac}g^{bd} - g^{ad}g^{bc}) = 2Sg^{a[c}g^{d]b}\,, \qquad (44) $$

where S is a scalar function quadratic in torsion. Therefore, the Tamm-Rubilar tensor density changes only by a conformal factor. Accordingly, the structure of the light cone is preserved for such models.

(ii) For the Lagrangians (11)–(15), we introduce the abbreviation $S^{ab} = S^{ba} := \left[T\cdot T\right]^{(ab)}$. In this group of models, the axion field is absent. Thus

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\widetilde{\chi}^{abcd} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(g^{ac}S^{bd} - g^{ad}S^{bc} + g^{bd}S^{ac} - g^{bc}S^{ad}\right) \\ &= g^{[a|[c}\,S^{d]|b]}\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (45) $$

In 6×6 matrix form, the 3×3 constitutive matrices, in an orthogonal frame, read [28]:

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}A^{\alpha\beta} &:= {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{0\beta0\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\left(g^{00}S^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta}S^{00}\right)\,, \\ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}B_{\alpha\beta} &:= \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta}\epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu}\,{}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\left(g_{\alpha\beta}S_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma} - S_{\alpha\beta}\right)\,, \\ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}C^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} &:= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta}\,{}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{\gamma\delta0\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}\,S^{0\gamma}\,, \\ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}D_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} &:= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma\delta}\,{}^{(\mathrm{tor})}\chi^{0\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\gamma}\,S^{0\gamma}\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (46) $$

These matrices obey

$$ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}A = {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}A^{\mathrm{T}}\,,\ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}B = {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}B^{\mathrm{T}}\,,\ {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}C = {}^{(\mathrm{tor})}D^{\mathrm{T}}\,, \qquad (47) $$

where T denotes the transposed of a matrix. As shown in [18], these relations, together with the closure condition for the constitutive tensor, guarantee uniqueness of the light cone. Thus no birefringence emerges also in this group of models.

(iii) For the Lagrangians from the third group (16)–(24), birefringence is a generic property. An example of this effect, for Preuss Lagrangian in the case of spherically symmetric torsion, was given in [9].

VI. EXAMPLE: SPATIALLY HOMOGENEOUS TORSION IN A FRIEDMANN COSMOS

As an example, we consider a spatially homogeneous torsion field appearing in a Friedmann type solution of gauge theories of gravity [29, 30, 31]. The independent nonvanishing components of the torsion are

$$ T_{0\alpha\beta} = \frac{u}{\ell}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta}\,, \qquad T_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{v}{\ell}\,\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\,. \qquad (48) $$

where $u = u(t)$ and $v = v(t)$ are functions of time the explicit forms of which depend on the specific cosmological model under consideration [29, 30, 31]. We chose an orthonormal frame with metric $g_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}(+1,-1,-1,-1)$.

The general Lagrangian (25), generated by torsion, yields, together with the constitutive tensor of the vacuum, the following nonvanishing components of the total constitutive tensor:

$$ \begin{aligned} \chi^{0\alpha\beta\gamma} &= (c_1uv)\,\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\,, && (49) \\ \chi^{0\alpha0\beta} &= -(1 + c_2u^2 + c_3v^2)\,\delta^{\alpha\beta}\,, && (50) \\ \chi^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= (1 + c_4u^2 + c_5v^2)(\delta^{\alpha\gamma}\delta^{\beta\delta} - \delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\beta\gamma})\,. && (51) \end{aligned} $$

Here $c_1, \cdots, c_5$ are linear combinations of γ's.

Accordingly, the torsion induces an axion field $\theta = c_1\,uv$. In general, the time derivative $\dot\theta$ of this axion field doesn't vanish. Thus in (43) only an additional *time* derivative shows up and the optical activity induced by the torsion of the Friedmann cosmos is proportional to $\dot\theta = c_1\,(\dot uv + u\dot v)$. The detailed form depends on the specific Friedmann model under consideration.

A further physical effect originates from the principal part $\widetilde{\chi}^{abcd}$ of the constitutive tensor. For (49)-(51), the 3×3 constitutive matrices read

$$ A^{\alpha\beta} = -f\delta^{\alpha\beta}\,,\ D_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = C^{\beta}{}_{\alpha} = g\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}\,,\ B_{\alpha\beta} = h\delta_{\alpha\beta}\,, \qquad (52) $$

where $f = 1 + c_2u^2 + c_3v^2$, $g = c_1uv$, and $h = 1 + c_4u^2 + c_5v^2$. In a tedious calculation, the Tamm-Rubilar tensor density $\mathcal{G}^{abcd}$ can be determined. With

$$ M := \mathcal{G}^{0000}\,,\ M^{\alpha\beta} := 6\mathcal{G}^{00\alpha\beta}\,,\ M^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := \mathcal{G}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\,, \qquad (53) $$

we find the nonvanishing coefficients

$$ M = -f^3\,, \quad M^{\alpha\beta} = 2f^2h\delta^{\alpha\beta}\,, \quad M^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -fh^2\delta^{(\alpha\beta}\delta^{\gamma\delta)}\,. \qquad (54) $$

Then the generalized Fresnel equation (36) can be written as

$$ Mq_0^4 + M^{\alpha\beta}q_\alpha q_\beta q_0^2 + M^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}q_\alpha q_\beta q_\gamma q_\delta = 0\,. \qquad (55) $$

For $f \neq 0$, this is a quadratic equation for q_0^2. Its solution reads

$$ q_0^2 = \frac{-M^{\alpha\beta}q_\alpha q_\beta \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2M}\,, \qquad (56) $$

with the discriminant

$$ \Delta := (M^{\alpha\beta}q_\alpha q_\beta)^2 - 4MM^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\,q_\alpha q_\beta q_\gamma q_\delta\,. \qquad (57) $$

This discriminant, upon substitution of (54), vanishes. Therefore we obtain a unique light cone

$$ fq_0^2 - h(q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2) = 0\,. \qquad (58) $$

The light velocity is positive, provided $f/h > 0$. Thus, in the general model as well as in the Preuss model, the light cone is single and the effect of birefringence absent, see [9]. Certainly, this is a result of the isotropy of the Friedmann model. Accordingly, photons would propagate isotropically but with a torsion dependent velocity $v^2 = f/h$. In astrophysical observations this effect could shows up in a certain deviation from the cosmological redshift predictions of general relativity.

Acknowledgment: We are very grateful to Claus Lämmerzahl (Bremen) and to Yuri Obukhov (Cologne/ Moscow) for many useful remarks.

---

[1] Here $a, b, ... = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are frame indices and ϑ^a represents the coframe.

[2] See F.W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G.D. Kerlick, and J.M. Nester, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48**, 393 (1976), Sec.V.B.2, and literature quoted there.

[3] I.M. Benn, T. Dereli, and R.W. Tucker, Phys. Lett. **B96**, 100 (1980).

[4] R. T. Hammond, Gen. Rel. Grav. **23**, 1195 (1991). R. T. Hammond, Rept. Prog. Phys. **65**, 599 (2002).

[5] R.A. Puntigam, C. Lämmerzahl and F.W. Hehl, Class. Quant. Grav. **14**, 1347 (1997) [arXiv:gr-qc/9607023].

[6] F.W. Hehl and Yu.N. Obukhov, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer) **562**, 479 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0001010].

[7] B. Mukhopadhyaya and S. Sengupta, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 8 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811012]. P. Majumdar and S. SenGupta, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, L89 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9906027]. S. Kar, P. Majumdar, S. SenGupta and A. Sinha, Eur. Phys. J. C **23**, 357 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0006097]. P. Majumdar, hep-th/0105122. B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. SenGupta and S. Sur, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 43 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0106236]. S. Kar, P. Majumdar, S. SenGupta and S. Sur, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 677 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109135]. B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 124021 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110308].

[8] O. Preuss, *Astronomical tests of the Einstein equivalence principle.* Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld (November 2002); see also [arXiv:gr-qc/0305083]. O. Preuss, *Neue Astronomische Tests des Einsteinschen Äquivalenzprinzips*, talk given at the Annual Meeting of the German Physical Society (DPG) in Hannover (March 2003).

[9] G.F. Rubilar, Yu.N. Obukhov, and F.W. Hehl, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, L185 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0305049].

[10] F. Gronwald and F.W. Hehl, *On the gauge aspects of gravity*, in: *International School of Cosmology and Gravitation:* 14th Course: Quantum Gravity, held May 1995 in Erice, Italy. Proceedings. P.G. Bergmann et al. (eds.). World Scientific, Singapore (1996) pp. 148–198. Los Alamos Eprint Archive, gr-qc/9602013.

[11] See C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation.* Freeman, San Francisco (1973), page 387. Here the comma denotes the partial derivative ∂ and the semicolon the covariant derivative ∇.

[12] See [10], Eq.(128). The Lagrangian is $\sim (1/2\ell^2)\,(T^a\wedge \vartheta^b)\wedge{}^*\,(T_b\wedge\vartheta_a)+(1/2\kappa)\,R^{ab}\wedge{}^*R_{\alpha\beta}+{}^*F\wedge F$, with R^{ab} as curvature, i.e., no explicit interaction term between gravity and electrodynamics was introduced. Still, the torsion turns out to be $T^{\hat r} = (Mr-2q^2)r^{-3}\,\vartheta^{\hat t}\wedge\vartheta^{\hat r}$, etc., with t and r as temporal and radial Schwarzschild coordinates, respectively. Obviously, the torsion does depend on the electric charge q.

[13] Maxwell's field coupled nonminimally to the *curvature* of spacetime has been recently investigated in some detail, see P. Teyssandier, [arXiv:gr-qc/0303081], and references given therein.

[14] F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke and Y. Ne'eman, Phys. Repts. **258**, 1 (1995).

[15] Y. Itin, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 173 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0111036].

[16] F.W. Hehl and Yu.N. Obukhov, [arXiv:physics/0005084].

[17] G.F. Rubilar, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **11**, 717 (2002).

[18] F.W. Hehl and Yu.N. Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, flux, and metric* (Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, 2003).

[19] In [9, 16, 18], the twisted 2-form H is decomposed according to $H = H_{ab}\vartheta^{ab}/2$. Then the spacetime relation reads $\check{H}^{ab} = \chi^{abcd}F_{cd}/2$, with $\check{H}^{ab} = \epsilon^{abcd}H_{cd}/2$. Correspondingly, up to a factor $\sqrt{-g}$, the constitutive tensors χ^{abcd} are the same.

[20] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1440 (1977); R.D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1791 (1977); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 223 (1978); F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 279 (1978); J.E. Kim, Phys. Rept. **150**, 1 (1987).

[21] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press: New York, 1986).

[22] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, D. Linde and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 912 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9502069].

[23] R.H. Dicke, *The Theoretical Significance of Experimental Relativity* (Gordon and Breach: New York, 1964).

[24] W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 301 (1977); Phys. Lett. A **120**, 174 (1987).

[25] M.P. Haugan and C. Lämmerzahl, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer) **562**, 195 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0103067].

[26] M. Haugan and C. Lämmerzahl, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **9**, SI-119 (Special Issue, 2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0002075].

[27] This should be carefully distinguished from the Faraday effect that has a different parity behavior; see, however, [26].

[28] We use Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, ... = 1, 2, 3$ for spatial coordinates.

[29] P. Baekler and F.W. Hehl, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer) **176**, 1 (1983).

[30] H. Goenner and F. Müller-Hoissen, Class. Quant. Grav. **1**, 651 (1984).

[31] A.V. Minkevich, A.S. Garkun, [arXiv:gr-qc/9805007].